

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

| APPLICATION NO.                          | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR |        |              | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. |
|--|-------------|----------------------|--------|--------------|---------------------|
| 09/369,883                               | 08/09/99    | 9 CROUZET            |        | J            | 038806.0448         |
| -  |             | HM12/1117            | $\neg$ | EXAMINER     |                     |
| ERNEST F CHAPMAN                         |             |                      |        | SANDALS,W    |                     |
| FINNEGAN HENDERSON FARABOW GARRETT       |             |                      |        | ART UNIT     | PAPER NUMBER        |
| & DUNNER LI<br>1300 I STRI<br>WASHINGTON | EET NW      |                      |        | 1636         | 6                   |
| 6AL171111147417114                       | re zoudu    |                      |        | DATE MAILED: | 11/17/00            |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 





Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/369,883 Applicant(s)

Examiner

Group Art Unit **WILLIAM SANDALS** 1636

Crouzet et al.

| X Responsive to communication(s) filed on Mar 16, 2000  | ·   |  |  |  |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .   |   |  |  |  |
| ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19   |   |  |  |  |
| A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failul application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exter 37 CFR 1.136(a). | re to respond within the period for response will cause the |  |  |  |
| Disposition of Claims   |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s) 1-20, 22-25, and 27-51   | is/are pending in the application.                          |  |  |  |
| Of the above, claim(s)  | is/are withdrawn from consideration.                        |  |  |  |
| ☐ Claim(s)  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s)  |   |  |  |  |
| Claim(s)  |   |  |  |  |
|   |   |  |  |  |
| Application Papers  |   |  |  |  |
| ☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw   | ring Review, PTO-948.                                       |  |  |  |
| ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are obj  | ected to by the Examiner.                                   |  |  |  |
| ☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on   | is _approved _disapproved.                                  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.   |   |  |  |  |
| ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.   | •   |  |  |  |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  |   |  |  |  |
| Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori   | ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).                            |  |  |  |
| ☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies  | of the priority documents have been                         |  |  |  |
| received.   |   |  |  |  |
| received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N   |   |  |  |  |
| received in this national stage application from t  | he International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).                 |  |  |  |
| *Certified copies not received:   |   |  |  |  |
| ☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price   | ority under 35 U.S.C. 3 119(e).                             |  |  |  |
| Attachment(s)   |   |  |  |  |
| □ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892   | · No(s). 5  |  |  |  |
|   | No(s)   |  |  |  |
| ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-  | -948  |  |  |  |
| ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152  |   |  |  |  |
| - Notice of line/man etent Application, 110 102   |   |  |  |  |
| SEE OFFICE ACTION OF  | N THE FOLLOWING PAGES                                       |  |  |  |

e star

Application/Control Number: 09/369,883

Art Unit: 1636

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I - the compound of claims 7, 8, 45, and 46.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-6, 9-17, 22, 23, 25, 27-37, 39-40, 42-44 and 47-51 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to



Application/Control Number: 09/369,883

Art Unit: 1636

be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species II - the non-ionic surface active agent of claim 18 and 19; Species III - the non-ionic surface active agent of claim 20; Species IV - the non-ionic surface active agent of claim 24; Species V - the non-ionic surface active agent of claim 38; Species VI - the non-ionic surface active agent of claim 41.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 1-6, 9-17, 22, 23, 25, 27-37, 39-40, 42-44 and 47-51 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after

Application/Control Number: 09/369,883

Art Unit: 1636

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

3. A telephone call was made to Steve O'Connor, Esq. on March 16, 2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

## Conclusion

5. Certain papers related to this application are *welcomed* to be submitted to Art Unit 1636 by facsimile transmission. The FAX numbers are (703) 308-4242 and 305-3014. The faxing of such papers must conform with the notices published in the Official Gazette, 1156 OG 61

Application/Control Number: 09/369,883

Art Unit: 1636

(November 16, 1993) and 1157 OG 94 (December 28, 1993) (see 37 CFR 1.6(d)). NOTE: If applicant *does* submit a paper by FAX, the original copy should be retained by the applicant or applicant's representative, and the FAX receipt from your FAX machine is proof of delivery. NO DUPLICATE COPIES SHOULD BE SUBMITTED, so as to avoid the processing of duplicate papers in the Office.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications should be directed to Dr. William Sandals whose telephone number is (703) 305-1982. The examiner normally can be reached Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM, EST. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dr. George Elliott can be reached at (703) 308-4003.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0196.

William Sandals, Ph.D.

Examiner

November 16, 2000